

Working Group on Youth Interventions

Jeffrey Lunde, Hennepin County Commissioner
Mary Ellen Heng, Acting Director, Hennepin County Department of Community Corrections & Rehabilitation





Background

- During the 2023 legislative session, Hennepin County leaders advocated for the creation of the Working Group on Youth Interventions.
- A collaborative and regional system is crucial to finding effective and lasting solutions.
- There is a need for expanded treatment options for justice-involved youth. Partnering with other counties and the state is fiscally and logistically efficient and will result in options benefitting ALL youth.



Establishment & Duties

The Minnesota Legislature established the Working Group on Youth Interventions in 2023 to:

- Assess current systems and post-disposition resources for addressing the therapeutic and rehabilitative needs of youth, specifically those youth adjudicated as <u>child in need of protection or services (CHIPS)</u> or <u>delinquent</u>.
- Identify <u>racial and gender disparities</u> that exist in these systems.
- Analyze <u>research models and best practices</u> across North America, including continuum of care, program specifics, best metrics, continuous improvement, entities involved in funding and oversight, outcomes, and costs.
- Develop recommendations on the <u>design of a regional system of care</u> for youth interventions, sustainable financing models, and alternatives to criminal penalties.
- Evaluate coordinated approaches to youth with high behavioral health needs, with the goal of reducing and
 eliminating touchpoints with the justice system and identify community-based services to address youth needs and
 gaps in services.
- Describe the <u>role the state of Minnesota should play</u> in ensuring best practice resources are available to all children across the state.

Membership

- Co-chair: Allen Godfrey, Field Services Director, Department of Corrections
- Co-chair: Jeffrey Lunde, County Commissioner, Hennepin County
- Joseph Glasrud, County Attorney, Stevens County
- Sarah Ellsworth, Managing Attorney, 10th Judicial District, Juvenile Division, Minnesota Board of Public Defense (Anoka County)
- · Dawanna Witt, Sheriff, Hennepin County
- Dylan Warkentin, Director, Community Corrections, Anoka County
- Heather Goodwin, Director, Health and Human Services, Carver County
- Suwana Kirkland, Director, Community Corrections, Dakota County
- Nikki Niles, Director, Dodge & Olmsted (D&O) Community Corrections, Olmsted County
- Kathy Hedin, Deputy County Manager, Ramsey County
- Molly Bruner, Director, Community Corrections, Scott County
- Paula Stocke, Deputy Director, Public Health & Human Services, St. Louis County
- Melissa Huberty, Human Services Administrator, Stearns County
- Terry Thomas, Director, Community Corrections, Washington County

- Wendy Morton, Supervisor, Child & Family Social Services, Minnesota Prairie County Alliance (Dodge, Steele, and Waseca Counties)
- Lynne Penke Valdes, Deputy County Administrator, Otter Tail County
- Catherine Johnson, Director, Community Corrections and Rehabilitation, Hennepin County
- Nicole Kern, Director, Community Corrections, Morrison County
- Jim Schneider, Director, Probation, Cass County
- Terry Fawcett, Director, Probation, Pine County
- Ashley Solsrud-Beckman, Child Foster Care Well-Being Program representative, Minnesota Department of Human Services
- Diane Neal, Deputy Director Mental Health, Minnesota Department of Human Services
- Elliot Butay, Senior Policy Coordinator, National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI)
- Millie Hernandez, Branch Director, Minneapolis American Indian Center
- Jasmine Mattison, Against All Odds Twin Cities, Ramsey County
- Shana King, Community Outreach Advocate/Parent Mentor, Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) Law Center, Hennepin County



Meetings

- Meeting 1 / Sep. 13, 2023: Member introductions; nonpartisan staff overview of enabling legislation, data practices and open meeting laws; initial data strategy presentation.
- Meeting 2 / Oct. 4, 2023: Review of working group's key objectives; gathered additional insights and questions based on proposed research questions; review of key timelines, logistics, deadline and testimony.
- Meeting 3 / Oct. 25, 2023: Discussion of data needs;
 Meeting 8 / Feb. 14, 2024: Reviewed and voted to update on the provider survey; testimony provided.
- Meeting 4 / Nov. 15, 2023: Review of data gathering Feb. 23, 2024: Final report submitted to Legislature. efforts; testimony provided.

- Meeting 5 / Dec. 13, 2023: Review of data gathering efforts; testimony provided.
- Meeting 6 / Jan. 3, 2024: Reviewed and provided feedback on draft versions of the data report and recommendations.
- Meeting 7 / Jan. 31, 2024: Reviewed and provided feedback on an updated draft of the recommendations.
- approve the final report.



Research

Research was conducted to address questions posed by the Legislature

- Current approaches to addressing therapeutic and rehabilitative needs of youth in Minnesota
- Licensing and certification in Minnesota
- Models and best practices across North America



Data

Data sources:

- Minnesota Judicial Branch (courts)
- Minnesota
 Department of Human
 Services (DHS)
- Minnesota
 Department of
 Corrections (DOC)
- Surveys sent to correctional facilities and treatment providers

Data limitations:

- Court race data required a Supreme Court order for release
- Court and DHS data collected and tracked differently; not shared with each other
- DHS does not specifically identify CHIPS cases
- Start/end dates frequently missing; time in treatment not specifically provided; placement records frequently overlap
- Some systems do not allow for LGBTQ+ or gender identity data
- Non-residential, community-based services and providers are not included in state data



Takeaways

Barriers:

- Resources vary among the 87 counties
 regional approach could alleviate this
- Licensing, certification and funding are major barriers for facilities and service providers
- Crucial funding is based on facility, not the youth or their needs
- Data collection and sharing are inadequate
- Facilities face workforce challenges

Impacts:

- Two-track system impedes access to services – one track would equalize regardless of DOC/DHS designation
- Interrupted services have a major negative impact on youth well-being
- Cultural and linguistic differences play too small a role in programming development
- Racial **disparities** persist
- Low and under-trained staffing limits capacity and services offered



Recommendations

The working group's recommendations focus on six primary areas:

- 1. Building a **regional system of care** throughout the state, that will keep youth closer to home and maximize connection, contact, and support for both youth and family.
- 2. Continued study of facility licensing and certification and evaluation of accountability, **governance and oversight**.
- 3. **Fiscal strategies** to support and retain existing staff and providers, increase access to programs for youth and family, improve reimbursement for providers, as well as support infrastructure changes needed in many facilities.
- 4. Improving data collection, data sharing, and data analysis, to ensure transparency and better data driven decisions.
- 5. Improving the **programming** offered in out-of-home placement facilities and across the entire continuum of care for system involved youth to better comply with best practices.
- 6. Addressing the **workforce development** needs that are impacting our system providers and community providers, including hiring, retention, training, salary, and wellness.



Hennepin County's next steps

The situation is urgent; now is the time for action

- We are moving forward with Working Group on Youth Interventions recommendation to implement a regional continuum of care
- Coordination of multi-county workgroup
- Assessing gaps in the continuum of care and how to coordinate across county lines
- Developing a formal structure to move that forward in collaboration with partners



Our shared vision and path forward

Regional approach and regional framework

- Regional oversight agreements
- Shared vision, values and commitments
- Operations, infrastructure, space, programming, funding, and data-sharing strategies



A continuum of care – no matter how youth enter the system



Centering youth, family, and community support system

Commitment to youth development, providing various levels of sustainable traumainformed care and supports



Coordinating a regional approach



Participating counties: Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Scott, Ramsey, and Washington counties in the metro, plus Olmsted and Stearns counties



Advancing an immediate response, including a Hennepin County youth crisis stabilization center



Regional continuum design and planning for 2026 implementation



Policy proposals and legislative funding



Policy proposals and legislative funding



Key policy areas

- Licensing and certification
- Medicaid benefit policy
- Reimbursement rate structure
- Multi-Disciplinary Team



Key funding needs

- Staffing stabilization
- Programming / services
- Facilities / infrastructure
- Build-out of regional continuum of care framework



Questions

